G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1899-1900
~~191~~ !
(Inwrai)

File No. 187

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Grant of land as Inam to the Sri Narasimhadeswaraswami temple at Arakere, Tumkur Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

Memo

Letter no. 665, dated 24th February 1900, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, recommending the application of Archak Narasimmiah of Arakere, Tumkur Taluk, praying for the registry of Kandayam land Survey no. 57 dry, measuring 6 acres 27 guntas and assessed at Rs 6, now in his private occupancy, as Inam in favor of the Sri Nanjundeswara temple at Arakere, in exchange for Survey no. 31 wet, measuring 1 acre 14 guntas and assessed at Rs 6 endowed as Inam to the temple.

Narasimmiah, the Archak of the Sri Nanjundeswara temple at Arakere, petitioned the Deputy Commissioner on the 19th June 1897, stating that the Inam land Survey no. 31 endowed

to the temple could not be
properly irrigated, that the
land had long been lying waste
and that, even when cultivated,
the produce was scanty owing
to the sandy nature of the soil,
and requesting therefore that
the Inam land might be resumed
to Government, and that in
exchange, a Kandayem land
in his private occupancy, Survey.
No. 57, bearing an equal assess-
ment of Rs 6 might be registered
as Inam to the temple. On this,
the Deputy Commissioner gave
an endorsement to the petitioner
No. 678, dated 19th June 1897,
observing that he did not see
sufficient reasons to grant the
exchange sought for.

2 Subsequently, however,
on the Archak renewing his
prayer for the exchange in a
petition dated 27th April 1898,
in which he added that the
Inam land had been rendered
unfit for cultivation

consequence of the deposit of sand over it by the action of an adjoining halla, the Deputy Commissioner referred the matter to the Amildar for report. The Amildar, after a personal inspection of the Inam land, has reported* that the halla has not damaged the land in any way, but that the poor yield from the land is due to the natural inferiority of the soil itself which is rather sandy, and that he sees no reason to grant the exchange of lands applied for by the petitioner. The Deputy Commissioner, however, thereupon called for a Pahni Takta, relating to the cultivation of the Inam land in question since 1884-5; and finding therefrom that it was cultivated only for 5 years during the whole period, he has now recommended for sanction of Government the Archak's prayer for the exchange of lands.

*No. 267, dated 24th August 1899.

3. The Deputy Commissioner's

(32)

proposal appears to be opposed to the principles laid down by Government in their Proceedings* no 11977-83 / R 7.174-92, dated 9th January 1893.

A Chamberlain

* File put up for reference.

The principles that order must apply to this proposal upon which

3.X.00

Survey No. 87. West of Arakere Village

Extent 1A. 14 G. Assessment Rs 6—

Read:—

Letter No. 665, dated 24th February 1900, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, stating that the marginally noted land endowed as Devadaya Inam to the Sri Nanjundeswara temple at Arakere Tumkur Taluk, is not of much use to the Inst.n, it having been rendered unfit for wet cultivation consequent on the deposit of sand over it by the action of an adjoining halla, and recommending that in exchange for this land, another land bearing an equal assessment and now in the Archak's occupancy may be granted as Inam to the temple.

Order

Order thereon

No 435
Ex. V/66

Dy 21-10-1900

The Govt observe that the land, viz, Survey No. 81, at present endowed as Inam to the Temple has not been rendered unfit for cultivation by any act of Govt. The Deputy Comr's proposal for the grant of another land in exchange for the aforesaid Inam land is ~~therefore~~ opposed to the principle laid down in Pro: No 11977-83 dated R.F. 174-92 9th January 1893, and cannot therefore be sanctioned.

Mysore
Secy

To
The Dy Comr, Tumkur Dt
ref V. Rifo

1899-1900

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur Dist

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore, Mugarai Dept

Dated 24th } February 1900
Despatched 28th }

Received

General No. 665
No.



Enclosure No. 1. Ver file

Under the circumstances represented I recommend that the application of Seeba Narasimhiah of Arlere in the Tumkur Taluk may be kindly sanctioned

There are a previous case similar to this - Put up reference

Submitted to Dewan an opinion 2/3

Sidenote 433 - 21-10-00

No 165
En. 1 Hist. file

Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Camp Kibbanahalli
Dated 24th February 1900

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Mmrai Department

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the accompanying file of vernacular papers connected with an application from Seebi Nara-simha of Arkere in the Tumkur Taluk, praying that Survey No 57/1 (dry) measuring 6 acres and 27 guntas and assessed at Rs 6 may be registered as Inam in the name of Narsimhaswamy, entitle in that village and the assessment thereon struck off, and to state as follows. -

The temple in question is a Mmrai institution in the enjoyment of the marginally noted Survey Numbers as Inam. Out of the Inam lands, No 31 is said to have been rendered unfit for wet cultivation owing to the deposit of sand on it from the Kodihalla of the

× No 31 Wet 1-14 6-..
110 Dry 9-13 8-..
111 " 9-5 7-8
34 Wet -36 4-5
114 Dry 2-13 2-

A G Assessment

At here tank the applicant who is the Dharmakarta of the temple asks that this number may be taken back by Government and that in its place no 57/1 bearing an equal assessment, which is in his own holding may be registered as Inam. That Inam land No 31 has not been of much use to the temple will be seen from the Extract from the Pahani account filed with the Vernacular record herewith submitted, which shows that it was cultivated only in five out of 15 years since 1884-85. Under these circumstances, I beg to recommend the boon prayed for, Seebi Narasinga for sanction.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

M. Macaire Rao
Deputy Commissioner.

Dec 62